

This question paper contains 3 printed pages.

2237

Your Roll No. ....

M.A. / Winter Semester

A

ECONOMICS

Course 106— Topics in Economic Theory

(Admissions of 1999 and onwards)

Time : 2 1/2 hours

Maximum Marks : 70

(Write your Roll No. on the top immediately on receipt of this question paper.)

Answer any three of the 4 questions given below. Each question carries a total of 23 1/3 marks. Marks for each part of a question are indicated in parentheses.

(1). Consider a Markov Process on a finite state space  $S$  (with  $|S| = k$ ), with transition probability matrix  $M$ . Use the norm  $\|y\|_1 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^k |y_i|$  on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Suppose there is a state  $j_0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  s.t. for all states  $i \in S$ ,  $M_{ij_0} \geq \epsilon$ .

Let  $\delta_{j,j_0}$  be the indicator variable that equals 1 if  $j = j_0$ , and equals 0 if the state  $j \neq j_0$ .

(A). Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$  s.t.  $\sum_i y_i = 0$ . Show that for all states  $j$ ,

$$|(yM)_j| \leq \sum_{i \in S} |y_i| (M_{ij} - \epsilon \delta_{j,j_0})$$

(B). Infer from the above that

$$\|yM\|_1 \leq (1 - \epsilon) \|y\|_1$$

(C). Notice that if  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are probability vectors, then (B) holds with  $y$  replaced by  $\phi - \psi$ , as the coordinates of this add up to 0. Now let  $\mu$  be a probability vector, and write  $\mu_n = \mu M^n$ . By repeatedly iterating the result in (B), show that, with  $n > m$ ,

$$\|\mu_n - \mu_m\|_1 \leq (1 - \epsilon)^m \|\mu_{n-m} - \mu\|_1 \leq C(1 - \epsilon)^m$$

for some  $C > 0$ .

(D). Hence the sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  is Cauchy, and converges to a probability vector  $\pi$ . Show that  $\pi = \pi M$ , i.e., that  $\pi$  is stationary.

(6, 6, 6, 5 1/3)

(2). (A). Let  $(S, \rho)$  be a complete metric space and let the function  $f : S \rightarrow S$  satisfy  $\rho(f(x), f(y)) < \rho(x, y)$  for all distinct  $x, y \in S$ . Let  $f^n(x) \equiv$

Turn over

$f(f(\dots(f(x))))$  be the function obtained by applying  $f$   $m$ - times. Fix  $x \in S$ . Show that then the sequence of distances  $(\rho(f^{m+1}(x), f^m(x)))_{m=1}^{\infty}$  is a convergent sequence.

(2). (B). Let  $(S, \rho)$  be a complete metric space, and let  $(\Phi_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of uniformly strict contractions with modulus  $\lambda, 0 < \lambda < 1$ , from  $S$  into  $S$ . Let  $(x_m)$  be the corresponding unique fixed points of  $(\Phi_m)$  (due to Banach's Theorem). Suppose there exists a function  $\Phi : S \rightarrow S$  such that

$$\sup\{\rho(\Phi_m(x), \Phi(x)) | x \in S\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

Show that then  $\Phi$  is a uniformly strict contraction with unique fixed point  $x^* = \lim x_m$ . Hint: Estimate the distance  $\rho(\Phi(x), \Phi(y))$  by breaking it up into distances about which you have information regarding the sup assumption above, or about contractions.

(10, 13 $\frac{1}{3}$ )

(3). Let  $S$  be a state space and  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of all prospects on it (all real valued functions on  $S$  taking on a finite number of values).

(A). Suppose a decisionmaker's (DM's) preference relation  $\succeq$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  is a weak order and satisfies monotonicity. Suppose also that for every prospect  $x$ , there exists a certainty equivalent  $CE(x)$ . Show that then  $CE$  represents  $\succeq$ .

(B). Suppose in addition (to the assumptions in (A)) that  $\succeq$  satisfies additivity. Show that then for every pair of prospects  $x, y$ ,  $CE(x + y) = CE(x) + CE(y)$ .

(C). Suppose a coin is tossed, giving  $H$  or  $T$ . Suppose  $\succeq$  is a weak order, and that all outcomes  $\alpha, \beta$ , we have  $\alpha_H \beta \sim \beta_H \alpha$ . Assume risk aversion in the sense that there exist outcomes  $\gamma, \beta$ , with  $\gamma > \beta$  s.t.  $CE(\gamma_H \beta) < (\beta + \gamma)/2$ . Show that the preference contains a Dutch Book.

(7, 7, 9 $\frac{1}{3}$ )

(4). Consider the following infinite-horizon model of the market for a commodity. Time is discrete ( $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). Harvests  $(W_t)_{t=0}^{\infty}$  are i.i.d. according to the density  $\phi$  on  $S \equiv [a, \infty)$ ,  $a > 0$ . Final consumers' demand is  $D(p)$ , if the market price is  $p$  in any period, and the inverse demand function  $P$  is strictly decreasing and continuous.  $I_t$  units purchased by speculators at time  $t$  yields  $\alpha I_t$  units at time  $t + 1$ , ( $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ). Risk-neutral speculators' expected profits are  $E_t p_{t+1} \alpha I_t - p_t I_t$ , where  $p_t, p_{t+1}$  are market prices at times  $t, t + 1$ , and  $E_t$  refers to expectation conditional on information available at time  $t$ .

The supply of the commodity at time 0 is given, and equals  $X_0 \in S$ . Note that supply at time  $t$ ,  $X_t = \alpha I_{t-1} + W_t$  and demand  $= D(p_t) + I_t$ .

An equilibrium is a sequence  $(I_t, p_t, X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  of random variables such that there is a function  $p^* : S \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  with  $p_t = p^*(X_t), \forall t$ , and the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) (no arbitrage):  $\alpha E_t p_{t+1} - p_t \leq 0, \forall t$ .

- (ii) Profit Maximization by Speculators: If  $\alpha \mathbf{E}_t p_{t+1} - p_t < 0$ , then  $I_t = 0$ .  
(iii) Market clearing:  $X_t \equiv \alpha I_{t-1} + W_t = D(p_t) + I_t$ .  
(2.1). Show that there is a unique function  $p^* : S \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  that solves

$$p^*(x) = \max \left\{ \alpha \int p^*(\alpha I(x) + z) \phi(z) dz, P(x) \right\}, \forall x \in S$$

(Hint: Use Blackwell's Theorem and Banach's Contraction Mapping Theorem, taking their conclusions as given).

- (2.2). Show that the  $p^*$  defined above can serve as the price functional required in the definition of an equilibrium.

(18, 5 $\frac{1}{3}$ )

HowToExam.com